STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11911234	R 11/20/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BETHESDA ON TURKEY CREEK**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **2800 FORDHAM ROAD, NE** **PALM BAY, FL 32905**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

0000 - Initial Comments

A revisit to the re-licensure survey including Limited Nursing Services (LNS) was conducted on . Bethesda on Turkey Creek, License, #4788, had deficiencies at the time of the visit.

0008 - Admissions - Health Assessment - 429.26(4-6) FS; 58A-5.0181(2) FAC

THIS DEFICIENCY REMAINS UNCORRECTED

Based on record review and interview, the facility failed to ensure that a Health Assessment (AHCA Form 1823) was fully completed by the healthcare provider for 2 of 3 sampled residents (#2, #14).

Findings:

Review of the record for resident #2 revealed an 1823 dated . The form did not indicate if the resident required 24 hour nursing or care. This box was left blank.

Review of the record for resident #14 revealed an 1823 dated . The form did not indicate if the resident required assistance with medications or what type of assistance would be required. This part was left blank.

On at 2:10 PM, the administrator and nursing director confirmed the findings.

Class III

0054 - Medication - Records - 58A-5.0185(5) FAC

DEFICIENCY REMAINED UNCORRECTED

Based on observation, resident record review, Medication Observation Record (MOR) review and interview, the facility failed to ensure that all medications were documented on the MOR when given for 1 of 3 sampled residents (#3).

Findings:

Observation during a visit with resident #3 in her 11:00 AM revealed a nurse entered the informed the resident it was time for her sugar check. The resident requested they use her thumb this time and the nurse complied. She reviewed the result and brought in a syringe with the appropriate amount of for the resident. The resident assisted by uncovering the injection site and the nurse

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11911234	R 11/20/2017

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE
BETHESDA ON TURKEY CREEK	**2800 FORDHAM ROAD, NE PALM BAY, FL 32905**

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

administered the .

Review of the record for resident #3 revealed an 1823 dated with diagnoses that included dependent .

A review of the MOR for resident #3 showed a glucose result of 265 on at 4 pm. The sliding scale dosages written on the left side of the MOR indicated a result of 251-300 would require a dose of 8 units of Novalog. The MOR was left blank for the 4 pm Novalog dose and injection on . There was no notation on the back as to why the was not given.

In an interview with the nursing director and administrator on at 2:00 PM, they confirmed the finding and could not say if the was or was not given at that time.

Class III

0167 - Resident Contracts - 58A-5.025 FAC

DEFICIENCY REMAINED UNCORRECTED

Based on resident record review and interview, the facility failed to have a provision in the resident agreement stating "if after a contract is terminated, the facility intends to make a claim against a refund due the resident, the facility shall notify the resident or responsible party in writing of the claim and shall provide said party with a reasonable time period of no less than 14 calendar days to respond" as per 429.24(3)(a), F. S. for 2 of 3 sampled residents (#2, & #14).

Findings:

Review of the record for resident #2 revealed she was admitted on . Her record contained a resident agreement signed on . Pages 1-5 were contract revision date . Pages 6-10 were revised on and now contained the statement regarding claims against a refund, but there was no date or signature on those pages. They were not marked as an addendum and the original pages were not present. The signature page 11, was revision , signed on . There was no indication the resident or POA was advised of the change to the contract.

Review of the record for resident #13 revealed he was admitted to the facility on . The contract in the record was signed on , however the date covered by white-out everywhere within the contract and the date of was written over it. The contract revisions were dated and does not include the statement regarding claims against a refund.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11911234	R **11/20/2017**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BETHESDA ON TURKEY CREEK**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **2800 FORDHAM ROAD, NE** **PALM BAY, FL 32905**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

On at 1:30 PM, the administrator confirmed the findings and said she could not explain why the contract for resident #2 contained different versions on different pages. She stated resident #14 was supposed to move in earlier than , but did not. They still had the contract he had signed prior to the revisions being made. She confirmed the use of white-out on the dates of the contract.

Class