STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11965853	**02/07/2018**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BOSCH ALF, INC**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **3060 NW 19 TERRACE** **MIAMI, FL 33125**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

0000 - Initial Comments

A Complaint (CCR#2018015306) Survey was conducted on [redacted], 2018. Bosch ALF, Inc (license #10199) had the following deficiencies identified at the time of the survey:

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11965853	**02/07/2018**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BOSCH ALF, INC**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **3060 NW 19 TERRACE** **MIAMI, FL 33125**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

0162 - Records - Resident - 58A-5.024(3) FAC

Based on record review and interview the facility failed to ensure one out of five sampled residents were notified 30 days in advance regarding a rate increase (Resident#2) .

Findings include:

A review of Resident #2's file showed that it contained a residency agreement dated , indicating that the resident paid $700 monthly. The agreement dated rate was increased from $700 to $750. The file did have addendum for rate in file, but there was no documentation that the resident received 30 days notice of the increase.

On at 2:15 PM the Administrator acknowledged she did not give her the 30 notice about rate increase.

Class III

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11965853	**02/07/2018**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BOSCH ALF, INC**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **3060 NW 19 TERRACE** **MIAMI, FL 33125**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

Z816 - Background Screening-Compliance Attestation - 408.809(2)(a-c) FS

Based on observation, record review, and interview the facility failed to have a signed attestation of compliance for four out of four sampled staff (#A, B, C, and D) .

Finding included:

A review of the employee files for Staff #A, B, C, and D showed no Attestations of Compliance forms.

On at 2:20 PM, the Administrator after reviewing the files, acknowledge they did not have the Attestation letter in the files.

Unclassified