

|                                                               |                                                                                                          |                                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES                                     | (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:<br><br><b>AL11967813</b>                              | (X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED<br><br><b>R</b><br><br><b>09/05/2018</b> |
| NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER<br><br><b>CASSIE'S CASTLE II</b> | STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE<br><b>117 BARCELONA DRIVE</b><br><b>ROYAL PALM BEACH, FL 33411</b> |                                                                     |

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES  
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

**0000 - Initial Comments**

An unannounced Relicensure second revisit survey was conducted by desk review on ..... for Cassie's Castle II, License #11780. The facility had an uncorrected deficiency.

**0181 - Emergency Plan Approval - 58A-5.026(2) FAC**

Based on record review and interview, the facility failed to ensure the Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) was submitted and approved annually by the local Emergency Management Agency.

The findings included:

On , a request was made from the facility of the CEMP approval letter from the Emergency Management Agency. The facility provided a receipt for the CEMP submission on . During an interview with the Administrator at 12:05 PM, it was stated that the local Emergency Management Agency sent the CEMP back to the facility for corrections in , and they gave the facility 30 days to make corrections. The revised CEMP was delivered to the Emergency Management Agency on , the facility is still awaiting approval. Therefore, the facility was unable to provide documentation indicating the facility's CEMP had been approved by the local Emergency Management Agency.

During a phone interview with the Administrator and the Owner of the facility at 1:30 PM on , the current status of the facility's CEMP was discussed. It was revealed the facility still did not have a current approved CEMP for the facility. It was revealed the facility received a notice from the Emergency Management Agency notifying the facility that the second revision review could not be approved (letter dated ) in its current state and that a third revision would be required from the facility. The notice provided the facility a 30 day time-period to resubmit the third revisions. According to the Administrator, the third revisions were resubmitted and that the facility is still awaiting the status of the third submission. Therefore, the facility was unable to provide documentation indicating the facility had an approved CEMP.

Class III  
Uncorrected Deficiency