STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11967799	R **04/24/2019**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **VILLA LA ESPERANZA II LLC**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **6021 WEST PARIS STREET** **TAMPA, FL 33634**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

0000 - Initial Comments

A third revisit to a complaint was conducted at Villa La Esperanza II LLC on in conjunction with a 2nd revisit to an complaint. Villa La Esperanza II LLC had deficiencies at the time of the visit.

0078 - Staffing Standards - Staff - 58A-5.019(2) FAC

Based on attempted record review and interview, the facility failed to ensure that all staff submitted evidence of a negative examination on an annual basis (Exam) for two (Staff C and Staff D) of four sampled staff.

Findings included:

Employee records that were reviewed showed that the Staff C's last Exam was dated and Staff D's 's last Exam was dated .

On at 11:04 a.m. during an interview with the administrator, the administrator stated she didn't know Staff C and D required documentation of freedom from on an annual basis.

No documentation of annual freedom from was provided for the Staff C or Staff D during the survey.

Class III

(Uncorrected)

Z814 - Background Screening Clearinghouse - 435.12(2)(b-d), FS

Based on record review and interview, the facility failed to maintain the employment status of staff members within the employee roster listed in the AHCA background screening clearinghouse (Employee Roster), within 10 business days of initial employment and 10 business days of ending employment for one (Manager) of three employees sampled.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11967799	R **04/24/2019**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **VILLA LA ESPERANZA II LLC**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **6021 WEST PARIS STREET** **TAMPA, FL 33634**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

Findings included:

During an interview with the Administrator conducted on [redacted] at 1:00 PM, the Administrator stated that the Manager no longer worked at the facility. The Administrator also stated at that time that the Manager had not been entered in to the Employee Roster.

During a record review of the Employee Roster on [redacted], records showed that no changes have been made to the facility's Employee Roster since the last survey on [redacted].

During an interview with the Administrator conducted on [redacted] at 1:13 PM, the Administrator confirmed that she was still having trouble accessing the portal to complete the update for the background screening employee roster. The Administrator stated, "I am not going to lie, it is not updated yet."

Unclassified

(Uncorrected)