STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11969335	05/23/2019
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BEACH HOUSE ASSISTED LIVING AND MEMORY CARE AT**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **30070 STATE RD 56** **WESLEY CHAPEL, FL 33543**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

0000 - Initial Comments

A complaint investigation (complaint #2019003362) was conducted at Beach House Assisted Living and Memory Care at Wiregrass on 5.23.19. The facility had deficiencies at the time of the survey.

Z814 - Background Screening Clearinghouse - 435.12(2)(b-d), FS

Based on record review and interview, the facility failed to maintain the employment status of all staff members within the employee roster listed in the AHCA background screening clearinghouse (Employee Roster), within 10 business days of initial employment, for one (Staff A) of three employees sampled.

Findings included:

A review of employee records conducted on showed that Staff A was hired on . A review of the facility's Employee Roster on revealed that Staff A was not entered.

The Administrator was interviewed at 2:26 PM on and was shown the Employee Roster. The Administrator reviewed the Employee Roster and stated that they did not see Staff A's name entered.

Unclassified

Z815 - Background Screening; Prohibited Offenses - 408.809; 435.02(2); 435.06 FS

Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to provide proof that individuals that provided personal care to residents and had access to residents' living areas had required level 2 background screenings and were eligible to work in an assisted living facility (ALF) for two (Companion A and Companion B) of six records reviewed.

Findings included:

An interview was conducted with Resident #1 at 11:27 AM on . Resident #1 stated that they received companion care services every day from an agency, that the companions were in Resident #1's room the whole time during the visits, and that Companion A assisted the resident with showers twice a week. Companion B was observed in Resident #1's room just prior to the interview with Resident #1 and Companion B stated that they were helping the resident get out of the bathroom.

An interview was conducted with Companion B at 11:41 on . Companion B stated that they

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED
	AL11969335	**05/23/2019**
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER **BEACH HOUSE ASSISTED LIVING AND MEMORY CARE AT**	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE **30070 STATE RD 56** **WESLEY CHAPEL, FL 33543**	

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES
(FINDINGS PRECEDED BY TAGS AND REGULATORY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)

worked for the same companion services agency that Companion A worked for and had been visiting since . Companion B stated that they bring Resident #1 to the dining room for meals and accompany them to medical , , .

A review of a print out from an electronic sign-in device utilized by the facility, conducted on , revealed that Companion A had multiple visits to the facility including the dates from 1:06 PM-11:06 PM, from 12:58 PM-10:58 PM, and from 8:07 AM-6:07 PM. The print out noted that Companion A was "non-compl" (i.e. non-complaint) (photographic evidence obtained).

A review of the AHCA background screening clearinghouse conducted on showed no evidence that Companion A and Companion B had the required level 2 background screenings and were eligible to work in an ALF.

A review of a facility document entitled "Policies for Employing/ with [Assisted Living and Memory Care] PSPS" (Personal Service Providers) dated read, "The Community will assist the resident in conducting and assessing a Level 2 background screening before the PSP begins working with the resident ...If the PSP is employed by an agency, the employing agency must provide the PSP's background screening ..." (photographic evidence obtained).

An interview was conducted with the Administrator beginning at 1:34 PM on . The Administrator provided a copy of a business card for a companion care services agency owned by Companion A (photographic evidence obtained). The Administrator was asked what was the facility's policy if individuals were determined to be non-compliant by their electronic sign-in device and they said, "They should not be in the building." The Administrator was shown documentation printed out from the electronic sign-in device concerning Companion A's visits on , , and . The Administrator was then asked to provide proof that Companion A and Companion B had level 2 background screenings and were eligible to work in an ALF. The Administrator stated that they contacted Companion A by telephone and was unable to obtain proof that Companion A and Companion B had required level 2 background screenings.

Unclassified